

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/629,473	07/29/2003	Tiziano Colla	115-031453	7303	
7.	590 08/11/2004		EXAM	INER	
William H. Logsdon			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R		
Webb Ziesenheim Logsdon Orkin & Hanson			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
700 Koppers Building				TALERITOMBER	
436 Seventh Avenue			3721		
Pittsburgh, PA 15219			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del>-                                    </del>	<u></u> Λ−					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\	M	$\mathbb{N}$				
	10/629,473	COLLA, TIZIANO	V	U,	$\cup$				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Christopher R Harmon	3721							
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress -	· <b>-</b>					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommended for reply in the specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		ation.					
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	<i>July 2003</i> .								
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims	•								
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in Application	on No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been receive	d in this National S	Stage						
application from the International Bure	* ***								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	d.							
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO	-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/629,473 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the language "two first buffers" is confusing. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 10 is objected to the language "respective second buffers" lacks antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear how the plane of a feed gap and guide mechanism is configured.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 9-10, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wild et al. (US 6,267,714).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,473

Art Unit: 3721

Wild discloses a bag producing device comprising first 4 and second 6 webs; first and second transport rollers 29; optical sensors 38, 39; control device 40; first and second buffers 46; see figures 1, 4a, and 4b. The pulling rollers of Wild are not upstream however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use pull rollers directly adjacent the web rollers 22. The speed of rotation of the rollers 29 is monitored and controlled by control device 40. It is not clear how many servomotors control the transport rollers 29 however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use first and second servomotors if only one controls both.

Wild discloses idler mechanisms 24 upstream buffers 46 (located at 44); supply rollers 22 can be driven.

7. Claims 7-8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wild et al. (US 6,267,714) in view of Bala (US 4,070,951).

Wild does not disclose a buffer for both webs nor third and fourth sensors,

however Bala teaches controlling opposing webs in a single buffer 32 controlled by Ex

sensors and circuitry; see figures 1 and 4a, and column 7 lines 14-40. It would have

been obvious to provide wild with buffer and sensing means as

taught by Bala to simplify the operation.

8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wild et

al. (US 6,267,714) in view of Glaser (EP 0400596).

Wild does not disclose a blower nozzle, however Glaser teaches blowing into the feed gap of opposing rollers; see figure 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Glaser in the invention to Wild in order to assist in the feeding of webs.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,473 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is 703-308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch

EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER

You &